May 2, 2008

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Currently, Claims 26-41 are pending. Claims 26-41 stand rejected.

By the foregoing amendment, independent claim 26 has been amended to require that the

rubber polymer modified asphaltic adhesive be adhered to the asphalt coating of the unexposed

side and adhered to the organic film. No new matter has been added by these amendments. See,

e.g., p. 7, lines 6-17 of the specification, as amended in the Amendment dated June 29, 2005.

I. Interview

Applicant would like to thank the Examiner for the courtesy of the interview of May 2,

2008.

During the interview, the cited prior art was discussed and its lack of disclosure as to the

placement of the rubber modified asphalt adhesive layer between the asphalt layer and organic

film. The Examiner stated that a further search would need to be conducted before an allowance

was issued.

II. **Claim Objections**

Claim 34 has been objected to as being indefinite. The phrase "and the like" has been

removed from Claim 34. As such, the Applicants request withdrawal of the objection.

II. Claim Rejections

Claims 26-41 are under rejection as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,636,414 to

Tajima et al. (hereinafter "Tajima") in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,585,682 to Colarusso

(hereinafter "Colarusso").

By the foregoing amendment, independent claim 26 has been amended to require that the

the rubber modified asphalt adhesive layer be adhered to the asphalt layer and the organic film.

Neither Tajima nor Colarusso disclose disclose a rubber modified asphalt adhesive layer adhered

Page 6 of 7

Application No: 11/242,478

Amdt. Dated:

May 2, 2008

to the asphalt layer and the organic film. This being the case, claims 26-41 of the present

application are patentable over Tajima and Colarusso, standing alone or in any combination.

Therefore, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection

of claims 26-41.

Ш. Conclusion

In view of these remarks and amendments, Applicants believe that each of the pending

claims is in condition for allowance. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request allowance of

claims 1-19. If, upon receipt and review of this amendment, the Examiner believes that the

present application is not in condition for allowance and that changes can be suggested which

would place the claims in allowable form, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact

Applicant's undersigned counsel at the number provided below.

The Director is hereby authorized to charge any fees or credit any overpayment of same

associated with this filing to our Deposit Account No. 03-1250, under Reference No. 2964,

Customer No. 43,309.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: May 2, 2008

/Michael P. Kenney/

Michael P. Kenney Registration No. 42,718

SILLS CUMMIS & GROSS P.C.

One Rockefeller Plaza

New York, New York 10020-2020

Tel: 212 500-1578

Fax: 212 643-6500

Page 7 of 7